

## BRIEF OUTLINE OF BIOSOLIDS SURVEY RESULTS

### Should CIWMB do additional regulations? Why?

#### USEPA

Yes 1            No 0

Need for statewide standards.

#### STATE AGENCIES

Yes 0            No 2

Already covered, additional regulations would be duplicative.

#### LEAs AND PUBLIC/ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Yes 4            No 7

Yes- Land application is not adequately regulated. The CIWMB should at least be one of the agencies involved in regulating it.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

#### POTWs

Yes 0            Maybe 7            No 11

Maybe- Generally, there is no need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids. However, land application, especially of Class B biosolids, needs statewide consistency, CIWMB may be appropriate agency to be involved in some manner, in certain circumstances.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

#### OTHER LOCAL GOV'T

Maybe 4

Maybe- There is a need for statewide consistency on land application, the CIWMB may be the appropriate agency. One agency couldn't respond until CDFA's position is known.

#### ASSOCIATIONS

Yes 0            Maybe 1            No 2

Maybe- Additional regulation is necessary, more discussion is necessary about how to do that.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

ENVIRONMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

Yes 2      No 0

The CIWMB should limit biosolids to safe disposal.  
One state agency should regulate land spreading of biosolids.

PRIVATE PARTIES

Yes 2      No 2

Yes- Additional regulation of land application is necessary.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

**TOTALS**

**YES 9      MAYBE 12      NO 24**